

## Result of voting

### Ballot Information:

<b>Ballot reference:</b>	JTC1/SC34N1036
<b>Ballot type:</b>	CD/FCD
<b>Ballot title:</b>	ISO/IEC 9541-3:2008/FPDAM 2: Font information interchange -- Part 3: Glyph shape representation -- AMENDMENT 2: Additional Shape Representation Technology for Open Font Format
<b>Opening date:</b>	2008-04-29
<b>Closing date:</b>	2008-08-29
<b>Note:</b>	

### Member responses:

<b>Votes cast (29)</b>	Brazil (ABNT) Bulgaria (BDS) Canada (SCC) Chile (INN) China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Trinidad and Tobago (TTBS) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
<b>Comments submitted (4)</b>	Australia (SA) Mexico (DGN)

<b>Votes not cast (10)</b>	Spain (AENOR) Ukraine (DSSU)
	Côte-d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Cyprus (CYS) Egypt (EOS) France (AFNOR) Kenya (KEBS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Pakistan (PSQCA) Sri Lanka (SLSI) Thailand (TISI) Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:	
<b>Q.1</b>	"Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"
<b>Q.2</b>	"If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
<b>Q.3</b>	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"		
<b>14 x</b>	<b>Abstention</b>	<b>Brazil (ABNT) Chile (INN) Colombia (ICONTEC) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) United Kingdom (BSI)</b>
<b>14 x</b>	<b>Approval as presented</b>	<b>Bulgaria (BDS) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Switzerland (SNV) Trinidad and Tobago (TTBS)</b>

		<b>USA (ANSI)</b>
<b>1 x</b>	<b>Approval with comments</b>	<b>Japan (JISC)</b>
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Disapproval of the draft</b>	

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"

<b>28 x</b>	<b>Ignore</b>	<b>Brazil (ABNT)</b> <b>Bulgaria (BDS)</b> <b>Canada (SCC)</b> <b>Chile (INN)</b> <b>China (SAC)</b> <b>Colombia (ICONTEC)</b> <b>Czech Republic (CNI)</b> <b>Denmark (DS)</b> <b>Finland (SFS)</b> <b>Germany (DIN)</b> <b>India (BIS)</b> <b>Italy (UNI)</b> <b>Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST)</b> <b>Korea, Republic of (KATS)</b> <b>Lebanon (LIBNOR)</b> <b>Malaysia (DSM)</b> <b>Malta (MSA)</b> <b>Netherlands (NEN)</b> <b>New Zealand (SNZ)</b> <b>Norway (SN)</b> <b>Poland (PKN)</b> <b>Romania (ASRO)</b> <b>South Africa (SABS)</b> <b>Sweden (SIS)</b> <b>Switzerland (SNV)</b> <b>Trinidad and Tobago (TTBS)</b> <b>United Kingdom (BSI)</b> <b>USA (ANSI)</b>
<b>1 x</b>	<b>Editorial</b>	<b>Japan (JISC)</b>
<b>0 x</b>	<b>All</b>	
<b>0 x</b>	<b>General</b>	
<b>0 x</b>	<b>Technical</b>	

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

<b>28 x</b>	<b>Ignore</b>	<b>Brazil (ABNT)</b> <b>Bulgaria (BDS)</b> <b>Canada (SCC)</b> <b>Chile (INN)</b>
-------------	---------------	--

		China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
1 x	No	Trinidad and Tobago (TTBS)
0 x	Yes	

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Japan (JISC)	<i>Comment File</i>	2008-08-26 07:22:01
<a href="#">CommentFiles/Japan(JISC).doc</a>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Australia (SA)	<i>Comment</i>	2008-08-22 00:10:08
Abstain		
Mexico (DGN)	<i>Comment File</i>	2008-08-26 21:09:41
<a href="#">CommentFiles/Mexico(DGN).doc</a>		
Spain (AENOR)	<i>Comment</i>	2008-08-28 09:43:09
SPAIN ABSTAINS ON THE MENTIONED DOCUMENT		

<b>Ukraine (DSSU)</b>	<b><i>Comment</i></b>	2008-08-27 15:52:19
abstention		

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2008-08-29

Document: FPDAM2 9541-3

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
JP	4.1		ed	The descriptions on compatibility with Type 1 glyph shape representation should be removed.	Remove the descriptions.	
JP	4.4		ed	The description on extended syntax by <code>rlneto</code> operator is duplicated with that in 4.1. It should be removed	Remove the description.	
JP	4.4		ed	The order of the operator description should be same as the order of Type 1 operators.	It should be same as the order in 2.7.3.	
JP	4.4.2.1.1 through 4.4.2.1.6		ed	The subclause titles are too long.	“(original syntax is given in ...)” should be moved to the corresponding clause.	
JP	4.4.2.2.1 through 4.4.2.2.2		ed	The subclause titles are too long.	“(original syntax is given in ...)” should be moved to the corresponding clause.	
JP	4.5.2 and 4.5.3		ed	Adobe Technical Note #5177 (Adobe Type2 Character Strings specification) is referred to. However, there is no referencing to Adobe Type 1 Character String specification.	Both Adobe Type1 and Type2 Character Strings specifications should be referred to, or neither Adobe Type1 nor Type2 Character Strings specifications should be referred to.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.



VOTE ON DRAFT AMENDMENT ISO JTC1/SC34 DAmD N1036	
Date 26 <sup>th</sup> August, 2008	ISO/TC JTC 1 /SC 34
Member body voting <b>O</b>	Secretariat <b>National Body (Mx)</b>

Circulated to all member bodies for voting. All member bodies are invited to vote.

**P-members of the technical committee or subcommittee concerned have an obligation to vote.**

Please send this form, duly completed, and with any comments **to the ISO Central Secretariat.**

**ISO/JTC1/SC7 DAmD N1036**

**English title** ISO/IEC 9541-3:2008/FPDAM 2: Font information interchange -- Part 3: Glyph shape representation -- AMENDMENT 2: Additional Shape Representation Technology for Open Font Format.

French title

**We approve the technical content of the draft**

as presented

with comments (editorial or other)

**We disapprove for the technical reasons stated**

The reasons for our disapproval are the following (use a separate page as annex, if necessary)

Acceptance of specified technical modifications will change our vote to approval

#### Comments

**See enclosure**

**We abstain**

Name Mexican Committe